

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,857	02/08/2001	Jinlian Hu	401088	6169
23548	7590 (04/19/2004		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			AZARIAN, SEYED H	
700 THIRTEE SUITE 300	700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960			
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m			
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/778,857	HU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Seyed Azarian	2625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation ap	opears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	January 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		,			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 February 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Region of the Including the Correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Region of the Including the Correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Region of the Including the Correct The Oath Order The Oath Oath Order The Oath Oath Oath Order The Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objusted or by \square objusted are drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Excision is required if the drawing(s) in	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appl iority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No reived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumi				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2625

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 1/22/2004, see page 5 through page 7, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-3 and 7-8 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Borchers et al (U.S. patent 5,753,931).

Applicant argues in essence that Shofner does not teach, "parallel light beams from at least two different inclined direction onto a surface of fabric" and that the "parallel lighting beams are integrated in the same housing".

2. However, for this feature, "parallel light beams from at least two different inclined direction onto a surface of fabric" the examiner is using the new reference of Borchers et al (U.S. patent 5,753,931), which teaches projecting a plurality of parallel planes of laser light through a transparent plate onto a surface of various type of object (column 6, lines 38-56), and also housing 101 containing various optical elements (Fig. 1, column 3, lines 1-12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2625

4. Claims 1-3, and 7-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shofner et al (U.S. patent 5,533,145) in view of Borchers et al (U.S. 5,753,931).

Regarding claim 1, Shofner discloses method of three-dimensional measurement, evaluation, and grading of fabric/textile structure/garment appearance using photometric stereo technique (Fig. 8, CCD 132 and 130, (photometric stereo), also Fig. 9, column 9, lines 23-51, evaluation of designed and grating of web);

capturing with the camera different reflected images of the surface of the fabric while the surface of the fabric is illuminated from each of the at least two different inclined direction (Fig. 8, column 2, lines 54-67, two different illumination from two different direction);

analyzing the reflected images captured to derive surface normal gradients based on intensities of light reflected from a number of evenly distributed points on the surface (column 14, line 63 through column 15, line 32, reflect of intensity of light from the surface of web and evaluation, also column 14, lines 16-32, derivative calculation).

However Shofner fails to disclose "shining parallel light beams from at least two different inclined direction onto a surface of the fabric". On the other hand in the same field photometric stereo technique Borchers teaches a plurality of parallel planes of laser light through a transparent plate onto a surface of object (see abstract and column 6, lines 38-56).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to modify Shofner apparatus according to the teaching of Borchers because it provides a plurality of digital data representations corresponding to the plurality of optical images and analyzes the digital data to find images of entities of interest, which can

Art Unit: 2625

easily be implemented in an image device such as digital still or video camera for quality assurance in the textile and improve accuracy.

Regarding claim 2, Shofner fails to disclose, "shining parallel light beams onto the surface of the fabric from four different direction". On the other hand in the same field photometric stereo technique Borchers teaches Fig. 2, two lasers 105a and 105b are used to project a plurality of parallel planes of laser light through a transparent plate onto a surface of object of light planes, and two cameras 104a and 104b respectively are coupled to frame grabber as shown in Fig. 1, each laser and corresponding optics elements may generate parallel lines with close or overlapping images on transparent plate (column 4, lines 1-16).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to modify Shofner apparatus according to the teaching of Borchers because it provides a plurality of digital data representations corresponding to the plurality of laser lines onto an object surface to determining a shape of the object based on the curvature of the reflected laser lines, which can easily be implemented in an image device such as digital still or photometric stereo technique.

Regarding claim 3, combination of Shofner and Borchers discloses an apparatus for three dimensional dimensional measurement, evaluation, and grading of fabric/textile structure/garment appearance using photometric stereo technique, a digital camera mounted above a piece of fabric means to illuminate the fabric (see claim 1, also column 14, line 63, through column 15, line 29, of Shofner, discloses evaluation of clumps of fibers known as neps, seed coat fragments which consists of both a transmitted and reflected illumination and identification, classification of particles in the cotton web)

Art Unit: 2625

Means for analyzing images of the fabric captured by the camera, and a computer programmed to derive value of P and Q from the images captured where P and Q are summations of surface normal gradients for a plurality of evenly distributed points in an X direction and in a Y direction, respectively, on the surface of the fabric (Fig. 10, X and Y direction of surface of web and column 9, lines 33-51, the diffraction grating is designed and focuses eight side-by-side images of each of the stripes, controlled by computer 144).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, it recites similar limitation as claims 1 and 2 are similarly analyzed.

Allowable claims

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

In claim 4, allowable due to the analyzing the images captured to derive values of P and Q, where P and Q are summations of surface gradients for a plurality of evenly distributed points in an x direction and in a y direction respectively, calibrating P + Q against a subjective grade analysis of the fabric, and thereafter, using calibrated P and Q and determining the grade of the fabric.

The closest prior art of record (Shofner) teaches continuous two-dimensional monitoring of thin webs of textile material. But Shofner does not teach or suggest the calibrating P + Q against a subjective grade analysis of the fabric, and thereafter, using calibrated P and Q and determining the grade of the fabric.

These key features in combination with other features of the claimed invention are neither taught nor suggested by the art of record.

Thus claims 4, 5 and 6 are allowable.

Art Unit: 2625

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seyed Azarian whose telephone number is (703) 306-5907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached at (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seyed Azarian

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2625

March 30, 2004

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600